Interview Summary

(7) Applicant(s) Application No. UDELL ET AL. 09/098,204 Art Unit Examiner 2152 Thong H Vu

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
1) <u>Thong H Vu</u> .	(3) <u>Morey Wildes #36,968</u> .
2) <u>Mark Rinhart</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 20 June 2002.	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10,13-15 and 17-47</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Thorne-Beck; Ji-MacPhail-Shear; Hasen-Beck; Drake-Norin</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
checked).	eparate record of the substance of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A	

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it san Attachment to a signed Office action.

reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER LECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100